

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/921,889	Applicant(s) NAHUM, MICHAEL	
	Examiner Shefali D. Patel	Art Unit 2621	

All Participants:

Status of Application: in condition for allowance

(1) Shefali D. Patel.

(3) _____

(2) Mr. Gerhard W. Thielman (Reg. No. 43,186).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 28 July 2005

Time: 1:30pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 101

Claims discussed:

36

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)


 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Mr. Thielman because claim 36 remained rejected with 101 issue in reference to carrier wave. After discussing why carrier waves are not patentable, Mr. Thielman cancelled claim 36, putting the application in condition for allowance..